Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/12

© Government of Kerala
1984



KERALA GAZETTE

SUPPLEMENTS

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXIX] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 22nd May 1984 / 1st Jyaistha 1906 (Saka) [No. 21

PART I

CONTENTS

Kerala Gazette No. 21 dated 22nd May 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 79/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 18th January 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Personnel Manager, Gominco Binani Zinc Ltd., Binanipuram, 683 502, Kerala and the workman of the above concern Shri A.S. Menon, Subhadralayam, Ambady, P. O. Poonithura, Gochin-682 317 received by Government on 19-12-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
K. SIVADASAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Tuesday, the 13th December 1983

Present :

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B. L.,
Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 88 OF 1981

Between

The Personnel Manager, Gominco Binani Zinc Ltd.,
Binanipuram, 683502, Kerala

And

The workman of the above concern Shri A.S. Menon, Subhadralayam,
Ambady, P.O. Poonithura, Gochin-682317.

Representations :—

Shri B. S. Krishnan, Advocate, Ernakulam. .. For *Management*

Shri M. Ramachandran, Advocate, Gochin-17. .. For *Workman*

AWARD

The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G. O. (Rt.) No. 1205/81/LBR dated 19-9-1981 is "Dismissal of Shri A. S. Menon with effect from 14-2-1975".

II. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against Shri Menon on the allegation that the committed grave acts of misconduct and a domestic enquiry was conducted. He was found guilty of the misconducts attributed and the dismissal followed on its basis.

III. The validity of the domestic enquiry was seriously challenged by Shri Menon. So the question as to whether there was a valid and proper domestic enquiry was considered as a preliminary issue and I found as per my order dated 12-12-1983 that there was a proper and valid domestic enquiry and the findings rendered by the Enquiry Officer are correct. Necessary facts have been enrolled in that order which I shall here extract so as to make it a part of this award :—

"PRELIMINARY ORDER

Dismissal of Shri A. S. Menon, an employee of Cominco Binani Zinc Limited, is the issue involved in this case. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him on the basis of a theft of Rs. 1,80,200 from the iron safe kept in the strong room of the Company's Office room sometime during the night intervening 29-12-1973 and 30-12-1973. The fact that there was such a theft was detected on the next morning and the matter was reported to the Police for investigation. There was no clue as to who committed the theft and the Management did not suspect anybody. In the investigation the Police arrested Shri A. S. Menon and two other employees, viz., P.V. Stephen and G.G. Bruce and all except Rs. 2,000 stolen was recovered consequent on the information furnished by the three. Then the Management simultaneously initiated disciplinary proceedings against the three employees. They were also placed under suspension pending a domestic enquiry. The charge against Shri A. S. Menon was to the following effect :—

> "It is reported that on the night of 29th December 1973, the aldrops of the door of the Strong Room of Accounts Department of the Company was broken and the room opened and that an amount of Rs. 1,80,200 kept in the iron safe in the room stolen after opening the safe. This has occasioned due to your failure to carry out work in accordance with the instruction of the company and gross neglect of work on your part and your irresponsible action resulting in damages to the goods and properties of the company and also because of your not taking reasonable precautions to safeguard the company property and to prevent damage to it. It is also reported that you have indulged in these actions with the active connivance and assistance of G. G. Bruce and P.V. Stephen, to other workmen of the company.
>
> Your alleged action amounts to major misconducts under Clause XXIII-B (7), (8), (19), (20) and (21) of the Standing Orders of the company. You are therefore charge-sheeted for the said misconducts and you are hereby required to give your explanation within twenty four hours of the receipt of this charge-sheet why you should not be dismissed from the service of the company for committing the alleged misconducts. In view of the seriousness of the charge, you are kept under suspension forthwith pending enquiry, if any."

Shri A.S. Menon submitted his explanation and it reads as follows:—

"With reference to your letter No. PL/S 100 dated 10-1-1974, I respectfully submit as follows :—

I deny the allegation that the reported theft on the night of 29th December, 1973 of money kept in the iron safe was occasioned due to my 'failure to carry out work in accordance with the instructions of the Company and gross neglet of work on my part' and my 'irresponsible action resulting in damages to the goods and properties of the company and also because of my 'not taking reasonable precautions to safeguard the company property and prevent damage to it'. I have been very diligent in carrying out my work in accordance with the instructions of the company and have never been negligence or irresponsible. I have always taken all reasonable precautions to safeguard the company property and to prevent damage to it in so far as I have been enjoined upon by my duty and instructions given to me by the company and the superior officers under whose supervision I have been working and such that any reasonable and prudent man also would take. For want of specific factual details regarding the allegations I am not able to deal with them more particularly.

I also deny the allegation of connivance with G. G. Bruce and P.V. Stephen and of having received their assistance and submit that I have never had occasion to associate with them either in performance of my duties or for anything else.

I, therefore, pray that in the circumstances submitted above, I may not be dismissed. I am absolutely innocent of all allegations contained in the letter under reply.

I also pray that my suspension may be lifted." The explanation did not find favour with the Management and therefore it ordered a domestic enquiry. MW1, an Advocate, conducted a joint domestic enquiry against all the three employees, but only Shri Menon participated throughout. The Enquiry Officer found Shri Menon guilty of the charge and the same was accepted by the Management to award the dismissal under challenge.

2. In the parallel prosecution the accused were found guilty and convicted by the learned Magistrate. But the same was reversed and the accused acquitted by the learned Sessions Judge, Ernakulam in Criminal Appeal No. 30/80. This reference was made after that acquittal. In the charter of demands appended to the reference it is alleged that the procedure adopted in having conducted a domestic enquiry when the prosecution on the same facts was pending was improper and that the enquiry was held in violation of all principles of natural justice. It is also complained that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. Reinstatement with all benefits is the relief claimed.

3. The Management in its written statement contends that Shri A.S. Menon and the other two employees were involved in the theft of the money from the safe. It is further contended that the enquiry was

conducted in accordance with the principles of natural justice giving all opportunities to Shri A. S. Menon to attempt to establish his innocence. The findings of the Enquiry Officer, according to the Management, are reasonable in the face of the evidence available at the enquiry. That findings had been confirmed by the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut in an application filed for approval of the dismissals. The High Court also had confirmed the Tribunal's verdict. That being so it is not open to a further challenge. The acquittal by the Criminal Court is not binding on the parties in an industrial adjudication. Dismissal is the only reasonable punishment that could be awarded in the circumstances of the case and therefore Shri A. S. Menon is not entitled to any reliefs.

4. In the rejoinder Shri A. S. Menon elaborates his claims stating as follows :—

The charge itself was self contradictory and vague. He was not given reasonable opportunities to establish his innocence. Many of his modest requests at the enquiry were turned down by the Enquiry Officer. He was not given sufficient opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses properly. There was no material in the enquiry from which a reasonable conclusion that he was guilty could be arrived at. The finding of guilt rendered by the Enquiry Officer is nothing but perverse. He who was really innocent was found so by the Sessions Court. That finding is sufficient to say that he is innocent. The order of the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut in the approval application cannot operate as a resjudicata or otherwise bar his present claims since he did not contest that application and the approval was granted reserving his right to raise an Industrial dispute. He who is innocent has to be reinstated with all benefits.

5. It is the admitted case that Shri Menon's right to raise an industrial dispute was reserved in the order granting approval of the dismissal. Only Shri Stephen had contested before the Industrial Tribunal. In any event Shri Menon's right to raise an independent industrial dispute had been reserved by the Tribunal and that way the order cannot operate as a resjudicata against the present claims advanced by him. Even otherwise the Tribunal or the Labour Court is exercising only a limited jurisdiction when dealing with applications under Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act. After the introduction of Section 11-A in the I.D. Act the Tribunal or the Labour Court has wider powers in appreciating the evidence concerning domestic enquiries than what they have while dealing with applications under Section 33 of the same Act. So the contention of the Management that Shri A. S. Menon cannot now challenge the validity of the domestic enquiry in view of the previous permission grated by the Tribunal cannot be upheld.

6. The preliminary issue that has to be disposed of at this juncture is as to whether there was a valid and proper domestic enquiry. The enquiry was conducted by MW1, an Advocate. Shri A.S. Menon had participated in the enquiry throughout. Five witnesses were examined for the Management. They were all cross-examined by Shri A. S. Menon.

Shri A. S. Menon was given an opportunity to adduce his evidence. Then he said that he has no witnesses other than himself. He made a statement. He was not cross-examined. The Management was represented at the enquiry by its presenting officer who was the Personnel Manager. When MW1 was appointed as the Enquiry Officer with notice to Shri A.S. Menon and others he represented to the Enquiry Officer complaining that the enquiry should not be proceeded while parallel criminal case was pending. He also requested the Enquiry Officer that he may be furnished with the list of witnesses and documents that are likely to be examined and produced at the enquiry. Another request was that he may be permitted to have the assistance of a legal practitioner to defend him at the enquiry since the enquiry is being conducted by an Advocate. The Enquiry Officer before starting the enquiry furnished the list of witnesses that were proposed to be examined and copies of the documents that were to be relied on by the Management. He was told that the enquiry will not be stayed but proceeded eventhough the criminal case was pending. He was also told that he cannot engage a lawyer to defend him. But he was permitted to have the assistance of a co-worker. Thus the enquiry was conducted in which Shri A. S. Menon defended himself opting not to have the assistance of a co-worker.

7. One serious complaint is that the Enquiry Officer went wrong in proceeding with the enquiry when the parallel criminal case was pending. Shri M. Ramachandran, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Shri A.S. Menon, referred to me the pronouncement of the Supreme Court in *Delhi Colth and General Mills V. Kushal Bhan* (A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 806). That was a case involving theft of a cycle and the court said that it was unnecessary to stay the domestic enquiry awaiting the decision of the criminal court. But it was observed as follows:—

> "It is true that very often employers stay enquiries pending the decision of the criminal trial courts and that is fair; but we cannot say that principles of natural justice require that an employer must wait for the decision at least of the criminal trial court before taking action against an employee. In *Shri Bimal Kanta Mukherjee V. Messrs Newsman's Printing Works*, 1956 Lab AC 188, this was the view taken by the Labour Appellate Tribunal. We may, however, add that if the case is of a grave nature or involves questions of fact or law, which are not simple, it would be advisable for the employer to await the decision of the trial court, so that the defence of the employee in the criminal case may not be prejudiced."

But the position had been further clarified in a later case by the Supreme Court in *Tata Oil Mills Company V. its Workmen* (1964 II L.L.J. 113) observing as follows:—

> "There is yet another point which remains to be considered. The Industrtial Tribunal appears to have taken the view that since criminal proceedings had been started against Raghavan, the domestic enquiry should have been stayed pending the final disposal

of the said criminal proceedings. As this Court has held in *Delhi Cloth and General Mills, Ltd.* v. *Kushal Bhan* (1960 I L.L.J. 520) it is desirable that if the incident giving rise to a charge framed against a workman in a domestic enquiry is being tried in a criminal Court, the employer should stay the domestic enquiry pending the final disposal of the criminal case. It would be particularly appropriate to adopt such a course where the a charge against the workman is of a grave character, because in such a case, it would be unfair to compel the workman to disclose the defence which he may take before the criminal court. But to say that domestic enquiries may be stayed pending criminal trial is very different from saying that if an employer proceeds with the domestic enquiry in spite of the fact that the criminal trial is pending the enquiry for that reason alone is vitiated and the conclusion reached in such an enquiry is either bad in law or malafide."

Shri M. Ramachandran vehemently argued before me that this is a typical serious case where the employer should have stayed his hands awaiting the verdict of the criminal court and the failure is fatal to the entire disciplinary proceedings. It is true that complicated facts and questions of law were in volved in the criminal case. The caution given by the Supreme Court is that it is advisable in such circumstances to await for the result from the criminal court. But in the Tata Oil Mill case it was stated in unambiguous terms that an enquiry simultaneously held along with the prosecution cannot be treated as bad for that reason alone. The instant case gives a clue as to how long an employer will have to wait to know the result of the prosecution. The prosecution launched in 1973 ended in conviction of the accused at the trial court in 1980 (that is what I am told since the judgment is not available) and the acquittal in appeal was later in that year. It is said that there is a revision still pending before the High Cour. Even the domestic entuiry as is seen from Ext. Ml file lasted for more than an year not because of any laches on the Part of the Management but because Shri A.S. Menon who co-operated with the enquiry is seen to have taken so many adjournments. Normally a prudent employer when he bonafide proceeds with the enquiry quickly instead of waiting for an indefinite period cannot therefore be accused as showing unnecessary haste in similar circumstances. As ruled by the Supreme Court the enquiry cannot be held as bad and vitiated simply because it was held when the prosecution was pending.

8. Another complaint is that the enquiry was held by a qualified lawyer in which the Management had the advantage of its Personnel Manager as the presenting officer and in such circumstances the workman should have been permitted the assistance of a lawyer especially in view of the fact that complicated questions of fact and law were involved. Reliance is placed on the pronouncement of the Supreme Court in *the Board of Trustees of the Port of Bombay* v. *D. R. Nadkarni and others* (1983 I L.L.J.I) in support of this contention. But that is a case where there were regulations under which the workman at the material time had a right to opt for the

assistance of a lawyer. So the dictum laid down there cannot be applied as such. Shri A.S. Menon is not an illiterate person. He was holding a responsible position in the Management Company and it is in evidence that he was working in the accounts section. The fact that there was a theft involving substantial amount of money is admitted. The only question in controversy was as to whether he was also responsible for the theft. Evidence relied on against him to which I shall refer in further detail later also concerns matters involving him directly regarding which he himself had all necessary information. In these state of affairs it cannot be said that he can insist on the assistance of a lawyer for his defene at the domestic enquiry. So the fact that he was not permitted have the assistance of a lawyer connot amount to a violation of principles of natural justice.

9. No victimisation is attributed to the Management. In fact the Management did not suspect Shri A.S. Menon as one of the culprits. They initiated action only on the basis of subsequent information received from the Police that Shri A.S. Menon and two of his colleagues committed the mischief. No bias is also attributed to the Enquiry Officer. So the disciplinary proceedings and the domestic enquiry cannot be attacked as initiated and conducted with any ulterior motives utilising the services of an Enquiry Officer chosen for that purpose.

10. The main complaint is that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. That there was a theft as alleged is not in dispute. The question is as to whether Shri A.S.Menon was in someway connected with the theft. The charge extracted earlier does not allege that Shri Menon actually Participated in the actual commission of the crime. What is alleged is that he has failed to carry out his duties in accordance with the instructions of the Management and there was negligence on his part resulting in the theft and substantial loss to the Company. Of course it is also said that he had connived with Sarvasree G.G.Bruce and P.V. Stephen, the other two workmen, in this deal.

11. There is no direct evidence regarding the theft and the circumstances under which it was committed. There is also no evidence that there was a conspiracy between the three employees against whom disciplinary proceedings were initiated. Normally direct evidence is not feasible when a theft takes place from an office building in the dead of night. Circumstantial evidence alone is possible. What had been attempted by the Management is to give certain circumstances from which the guilt of Shri Menon and the other two workmen could be infered.

12. The safe in which the money was kept had two keys and it was the duty of Shri A.S. Menon to lock the same with those two keys and to entrust the same to the senior officers. The duplicate keys are also there of which one is with the bankers of the Company and the other with one of the other senior officers. There is no case that the lock of the safe was tampered. On the other hand the allegation is that the safe was opened utilising a key that could be operated on it. By way of double protection the safe room has shutters which are also locked. The lock that was utilised

for the shutters was broken open. According to the Management Shri A.S. Menon locked the safe on the relevant day only with one of the two keys instead of using both in succession and that a fake key made earlier utilising the model of the actual key which Shri A. S. Menon had in his possession was made use of to open the safe to materialise the theft. To substantiate this case no independent evidence of any sort was adduced. Of the five witnesses examinend at the enquiry Nos. 2 to 4 have only given evidence regarding the fact that there was a theft and the procedure that is normally adopted in the matter of locking the safe room and the safe. In other words no incriminating circumstances are available in the evidence of those three witnesses. The evidence of witness Nos. 1 and 5 is what is relied on by the Management.

13. Shri A. S. Menon and the two other employees were arrested by the Police in connection with the criminal case on 4-1-1974. On the 5th Shri T. M. Menon, the Administrative Manager examined as witness No.5 at the enquiry, was told by the police that all except Rs. 2,000 out of the stolen money was recovered on the basis of information furnished by the accused arrested. Shri T. M. Menon was asked by the Police to go over to the Police Station and have a look at the currency notes so recovered. The evidence given by witness Nos. 1 and 5 is to the effect that they reached the crime branch office (the investigation was conducted by the Crime Branch) by about 5 p.m. and on verification it was found that the currency notes that were available there were those that were kept in the safe. What is stated by witness Nos. 1 and 5 is to the effect that Shri A. S. Menon and the two other accused in the criminal case were present in the Crime Branch Office at that time and that then Shri A. S. Menon confessed to Shri T. M. Menon (witness No.5) that he locked the safe on the 29th evening only with one of the keys and that the money was stolen by Bruce and Stephen utilising a fake key which was earlier made utilising the original genuine key and that he is really sorry that he involved himself in such a crime. The circumstance that the recovery was effected consequent on the information given by Shri A. S. Menon and the two others and the confession said to have been made are relied on by the Management to reach a conclusion that Shri A. S. Menon was really involved in the commission of the crime. But the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the workman relies on the judgement of the Sessions Court in which the accused in the criminal case were acqitted to say that there was no valid recovery consequent on the information furnished by the accused and that circumstance is not available now. An attested copy of the judgement is produced in the case. It can be seen from that judgment that the Sesssions Judge refused to act on the recovery for various technical reasons. But the fact remains that a substantial sum of money was available with the Police on the 5th of January. I have already mentioned that this is not a case where the Management had any motive to victimise this workman. It may be true that the recovery was defective for the reasons pointed out by the Learned Sessions Judge. Still the circumstance remains that the recovery was effected soon after the arrest of Shri A. S. Menon

and the others. If the confession said to have been made to witness No. 5 is accepted then it is sufficient in the circumstances of this case to hold Shri A. S. Menon guilty of the misconducts attributed to him. The evidence of the 5th witness in this regard is amply corroborated by that of the first witness. He was also present at the Crime Branch Office at the relevant time. From the testimony of these witnesses it is evident that Shri A. S. Menon was a conscious keeper of the higher officials of the Company and it was to whom the du y of locking the safe was entrusted. That it was his duty to do so is admitted. That means that the higher Officials had great confidence in Shri A. S. Menon till this unfortunate development took place. A reading of the evidence of witness No. 5 would indicate that he was really sorry that a person like Shri A. S. Menon got himself involved in such a case. This being the background I find no reason why witness Nos. 1 and 5 should be disbelieved when they say that Shri A. S. Menon had confessed his guilt to them. So their evidence can safely be accepted. When that is the position it can safely be held that Shri A. S. Menon whose duty it was to lock the safe with both the keys one after other purposely used only one key on the 29th of December 1973 with a view to facilitiate an easy theft. So he has disobeyed the instructions that he should lock the safe with both keys. He has also been negligent in not using both the keys for the safe to lock it. His own confession indicates that he was actively involved in the plot to commit the theft for which he made available the genuine key for fabricating a duplicate. That means that the allegations in the charge are all proved sufficiently to reach the conclusion of the guilt arrived at by the enquiry Officer. So the finding of guilt rendered by the Enquiry Officer is not perverse. On the other hand it is correct on the basis of the available evidence on record. I confirm that finding.

14. In the result it is hereby found that the conclusions reached by the Enquiry Officer regarding the guilt of Shri A. S. Menon are arrived at correctly in a properly conducted domestic enquiry. Ordered accordingly."

IV. In the light of the above findings the only question deserving further consideration is as to whether Shri Menon is entitled to any reliefs in the matter of punishment. It is seen that Shri Menon who was a conscious keeper of the Management entrusted with responsible duties involving confidence had misused his position to have an easy theft of huge amounts of money kept under safe custody. It needs hardly be mentioned that Shri Menon deserves no reliefs in the matter of punishment invoking the jurisdiction vested in me under Sec. 11-A of the Industrial Disputes Act. Dismissal is the only appropriate punishment that could be awarded in the circumstances of this case. So the dismissal under challenge is hereby confirmed. The reference is answered accordingly.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Ernakulam,
13-12-1983.

Appendix

Witness examined on the Management's side:

MW1 Shri A. K. Avirah

Exhibits marked on the Management's side:

Ext. M1. The file containing the papers in connection with the domestic enquiry against Sarvasree P. V. Stephen and A. S. Menon. (The same file is also marked in I. D. 11/82)

" M1 (a) Proceedings of the enquiry.

" M1 (b) Findings of the enquiry.

" M1 (c) Written argument notes submitted by Shri A. S. Menon at the enquiry.

Exhibit marked on the Workman's side:

Ext. W1. Certified copy of the judgment of the Sessions Court, Ernakulam in Crl. Appeal Nos. 28, 30 & 31/80. (The same copy is marked in I. D. No. 11/82 also)

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 65778/C3/83/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 7th April* 1984.

The following Draft Rules further to amend the Kerala Panchayats (Authorising of expenditure) Rules, 1964, issued under Notification G. O. (MS) 354/64/A&RDD dated the 6th June, 1964, and published as S.R.O. No. 191/64 in the Kerala Gazette No. 24 dated the 16th June, 1964, which the Government of Kerala propose to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 129 of the Kerala Panchayats Act, 1960 (32 of 1960) read with section 77 thereof, is hereby published for general information as required by sub section (2) of Section 130 of the said Act.

Notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken up for consideration on or after 15-6-1984 and that any objection or suggestion that may be received in respect of the said draft from any person before the date specified above will be considered by the Goverament. Objections and suggestions, if any, shall be addressed to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Local Administration and Social Welfare Department, Trivandrum,

DRAFT RULES

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(1) These Rules may be called the Kerala Panchayats (Authorising of expenditure) Amendment Rules, 1984.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. *Amendment of the Rules.*—In the Kerala Panchayats (Authorising of expenditure) Rules, 1964;

(i) in rule 4,—

(1) in clause (a),—

(a) in item (i) for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 500 (Rupees five hundred only)", the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand)" shall be substituted;

(b) in item (ii) for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 25 (Rupees Twenty five only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 75 (Rupees seventy five only)" shall be substituted;

(c) in item (iii) for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 500 (Rupees five hundred only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words" Rs. 750/ (Rupees seven hundred and fifty only) shall be substituted;

(2) in clause (b),—

(a) in item (ii) for the letters, figures, brackets and words, "Rs. 3,000 (Rupees Three thousand only)", the letters figures, brackets and words "Rs. 10,000 (Rupees Ten thousand only)" shall be substituted;

(b) in item (iii), for the letters and figures Rs. 5000 the letters; figures, brackets and words "Rs. 15, 000 (Rupees fifteen thousand only)", shall be substituted;

(c) in item (v), for the letters and figures "Rs. 100", the letters, figures, brackets and words, "Rs. 500 (Rupees five hundred only)" shall be substituted;

(ii) *in rule* 5,—

(a) in item (1), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 750 (Rupees seven hundred and fifty only) the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 2500 (Rupees two thousand five hundred only)", shall be substituted;

(b) in item (ii), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 50 (Rupees fifty only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 200 (Rupees two hundred only) shall be substituted.

(c) in item (iii), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 750 (Rupees seven hundreda nd fifty only)" and Rs. 100 (Rupees one hundred only)"the letters, figures, brackets and words"Rs. 3000 (Rupees three thousand only)" and Rs. 300 (Rupees Three hundred only)" respectively, shall be substituted.

(d) in item (iv), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs.10,000(Rupees ten thousand only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 25,000 (Rupees twenty five thousand only)" shall be substituted;

(e) in item (v), for the letters and figures "Rs. 5000" the letters and figures "Rs. 25,000" shall be substituted;

(iii) *in rule* 6,

(a) in item (i) for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 1000 (Rupees one thousand)" the letters, figures brackets and words "Rs. 3,000 (Rupees three thousand only) shall be substituted.

(b) in item (ii), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 100 (Rupees one hundred only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 300 (Rupees three hundred only)" shall be substituted.

(c) in item (iii), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 2500 (Rupees two thousand and five hundred only)"the letters, figures, brackets and words Rs. 7500 (Rupees seven thousand and five hundred only)" shall be substituted;

(d) in item (iv), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 250 (Rupees Two hundred and fifty only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 750 (Rupees seven hundred and fifty only)" shall be substituted;

(e) In item (v), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 500 (Rupees five thousand only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 15000(Rupee, Fifteen thousand only)" shall be substituted; and

(f) In item (vii), for the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 10,000 (Rupees ten thousand only)" the letters, figures, brackets and words "Rs. 25,000(Rupees twenty five thousand only)" shall be substituted.

By order of the Governor,

V. R. PADMANABHAN,

Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the draft rules but is intended to indi ate to its g neral purport.)

The Kerala Panchayats (Authorising and Expenditure) Rules, 1964 were framed for back in the year 1964. The cost of materials labour charge etc have increased considerably since then. Therefore enhancement of the financial limits specified under Rule 4, 5 and 6 of the said Rules has become necessary for better administration of the Panchayats in the State The Panchayat Presidents' Association has also represented for the amendment to the above Rules. The Government have examined the matter in detail in consultation with the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts and have decided to enhance the financial limits.

This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G. O. MS. No: 95/84/LA & SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 3rd April* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 488/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition, Kozhikode by the issue of the Notification No. D. 3865/74 dated 10th December, 1974 under sub-section (1) of section 3 thereof, published at page 117 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 14th January, 1975 and the declaration under section 6 of the said Act published at page 2440 to 2441 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 14th October, 1975.

SCHEDULE

District—Kozhikode
Village—Kasaba

Taluk—Kozhikode
Desom/Kara:Kalathilkunnu desom

(Ward 5 Block 18 of Calicut Corporation.)

(The extent given is approximate)

Sl. No.	*Survey No.*	*Description*	*Extent* Acre	*Extent* Hectares
1.	T.S. No. 857 part	Garden	0.02	0.0081
2.	T.S. No. 854	do.	0.80	0.3237
3.	T.S. No. 853 part	Unassessed	0.02	0.0081
			0.84	0.3399

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The acquisition proceedings in respect of the lands mentioned in the notification have been withdrawn since the lands are not required by Executive Trustee, Calicut Town Planning Trustee, Kozhikode.

G. 500

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ. 488/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ട്, (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ്, (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ, ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 1975 ജനുവരി 14-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗത്ത് 117-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ട് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1974 ഡിസംബർ 10-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ഡി-3865/74 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും, 1975 ഒക്ടോബർ 14-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗത്ത് 2440, 2441 എന്നീ പേജുകളിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കോഴിക്കോട് സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കോഴിക്കോട്
താലൂക്ക്—കോഴിക്കോട്

വില്ലേജ്—കസാബ
ദേശം/കര—കളത്തിൽകുന്ന് ദേശം

(കോഴിക്കോട് കോർപ്പറേഷനിലെ വാർഡ് നമ്പർ 5 ബ്ലോക്ക് 18)

(ഏകദേശ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമാണ് കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്നത്)

ക്രമനമ്പർ	സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ഏക്കർ	ഹെക്ടർ
1	ററി. എസ്. നമ്പർ 857 ഭാഗം	തോട്ടം	0.02	0.0081
2	ററി. എസ്. നമ്പർ 854	,,	0.80	0.3237
3	ററി. എസ്. നമ്പർ 853 ഭാഗം	തിട്ടപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടില്ല	0.02	0.0081
			0.84	0.3399

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം വെളിപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

കോഴിക്കോട്ടുള്ള കാലിക്കററ് ടൗൺ പ്ലാനിംഗ് ട്രസ്ററിയുടെ എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് ട്രസ്ററിക്കു സ്ഥലം ആവശ്യമില്ലാത്തതിനാൽ വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ള ഭൂമി സംബന്ധിച്ച സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

By order of the Governor,

M. S. K. Ramaswami,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Housing (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. No. 31/84/Housing. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 12*th March* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 489/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala
Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala
hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the land mentioned in the Schedule
given below in respect of which land acquisition Proceedings were initiated
by the Special Tahsildar (Land Acquisition) for Kerala State Housing Board,
Kozhikode by the issue of Notification No. A 126/80 dated the 29th April,
1980 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published in the Kerala
Gazette Extraordinary dated the 20th June, 1980 at pages 1 and 2 and
the declaration No. K. Dis. 21015/81 (LRC4) dated the 25th September,
1981 of the Board of Revenue under section 6 of the said Act, published
in the Malayala Manorama daily dated the 18th October, 1981 and
Desabhimani daily dated the 19th October, 1981.

SCHEDULE

District—Cannanore.

Taluk—Cannanore. *Village*—Cannanore.
Ward II Block 5.

(The extent given is approximate)

Survey No.	*Description*	*Extent in square metres*
T.S. No. 187/1	Garden	3788.20

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its
general purport.)

The Kerala State Housing Board in its meeting held on 18-3-1983 resol-
ved to drop the proposal for acquisition of 3788.20 square meters of land
which was proposed for the construction of a shopping complex in
Cannanore Municipality in Survey No. 187/1 and the Secretary of the
Board requested the Land Acquisition Officer to withdraw the proceed-
ings already initiated for the purpose. No damage has been caused
to the interested parties as a result of the Land Acquisition proceedings
and no compensation under subsection (2) of Section 52 of the Kerala
Land Acquisition Act is payable in this case. This notification is for
the purpose of withdrawing from the acquisition in question.

G. 417

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 489/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ, താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 1980 ജൂൺ 20-ാം തീയതിയിലെ അസാധാരണ കേരള ഗസററിൽ ഒന്നും രണ്ടും പേജുകളിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ട് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1980 ഏപ്രിൽ 29-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ126/80 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കോഴിക്കോട്ടെ കേരള സംസ്ഥാന ഭവനനിർമ്മാണബോർഡിനുവേണ്ടിയുള്ള സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ (സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ്) സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള റവന്യൂ ബോർഡിന്റെ 1981 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 25-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കെ. ഡിസ്. 21015/81 (എൽ ആർ സി4) എന്ന നമ്പർ പ്രഖ്യാപനം 1981 ഒക്ടോബർ 18-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മലയാളമനോരമ ദിനപത്രത്തിലും 1981 ഒക്ടോബർ 19-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ദേശാഭിമാനി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കണ്ണൂർ.

താലൂക്ക്—കണ്ണൂർ.

വില്ലേജ്—കണ്ണൂർ.
ബ്ലോക്ക് 5.

വാർഡ് II

(ഏകദേശ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമാണ് കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളത്)

സർവ്വേ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ചതുരശ്ര മീററിൽ
ടി. എസ്. നമ്പർ 187/1	തോട്ടം	3788.20

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

18-8-1983-ൽ കൂടിയ കേരള സംസ്ഥാന ഭവന നിർമ്മാണ ബോർഡിന്റെ യോഗം കണ്ണൂർ മുനിസിപ്പാലിററിയിൽ ഷോപ്പിംഗ് കോംപ്ലക്സ് നിർമ്മിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി 187/1 എന്ന സർവ്വെ നമ്പരിൽപ്പെട്ട 3788.20 ചതുരശ്രമീററർ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള നിർദ്ദേശം ഉപേക്ഷിക്കുന്നതിന് തീരുമാനിക്കുകയും പ്രസ്തുത ആവശ്യത്തിന് നേരത്തെ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിക്കാൻ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥനോട് ബോർഡിന്റെ സെക്രട്ടറി ആവശ്യപ്പെടുകയും ചെയ്തു. സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികളുടെ ഫലമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട കക്ഷികൾക്ക് നഷ്ടമൊന്നും സംഭവിച്ചിട്ടില്ലാത്തതിനാൽ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് 52-ാം വകുപ്പു (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരമുള്ള നഷ്ടപരിഹാരം ഈ കാര്യത്തിൽ കൊടുക്കേണ്ട ആവശ്യമില്ല. പ്രസ്തുത സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിക്കുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

R. B. Pathak,

Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Housing (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. No. 31/84/Housing. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th March* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 489/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the land mentioned in the Schedule given below in respect of which land acquisition Proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar (Land Acquisition) for Kerala State Housing Board, Kozhikode by the issue of Notification No. A 126/80 dated the 29th April, 1980 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary dated the 20th June, 1980 at pages 1 and 2 and the declaration No. K. Dis. 21015/81 (LRC4) dated the 25th September, 1981 of the Board of Revenue under section 6 of the said Act, published in the Malayala Manorama daily dated the 18th October, 1981 and Desabhimani daily dated the 19th October, 1981.

SCHEDULE

District—Cannanore.

Taluk—Cannanore. *Village*—Cannanore.

Ward II Block 5

(The extent given is approximate)

Survey No.	*Description*	*Extent in square metres*
T.S. No. 187/1	Garden	3788.20

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Kerala State Housing Board in its meeting held on 18-3-1983 resolved to drop the proposal for acquisition of 3788.20 square meters of land which was proposed for the construction of a shopping complex in Cannanore Municipality in Survey No. 187/1 and the Secretary of the Board requested the Land Acquisition Officer to withdraw the proceedings already initiated for the purpose. No damage has been caused to the interested parties as a result of the Land Acquisition proceedings and no compensation under subsection (2) of Section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act is payable in this case. This notification is for the purpose of withdrawing from the acquisition in question.

C. 417

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 489/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ്
(1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ,
താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 1980 ജൂൺ 20-ാം
തീയതിയിലെ അസാധാരണ കേരള ഗസററിൽ ഒന്നും രണ്ടും പേജുകളിൽ
പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ട് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു
പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1980 ഏപ്രിൽ 29-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ126/80 എന്ന നമ്പർ
വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കോഴിക്കോട്ടെ കേരള സംസ്ഥാന
ഭവനനിർമ്മാണബോർഡിനുവേണ്ടിയുള്ള സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ (സ്ഥല.
മെടുപ്പ്) സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും. പ്രസ്തുത
ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള റവന്യൂ ബോർഡിന്റെ 1981 സെപ്റ്റംബർ
25-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കെ. ഡിസ്. 21015/81 (എൽ ആർ സി4) എന്ന നമ്പർ
പ്രഖ്യാപനം 1981 ഒക്ടോബർ 18-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മലയാളമനോരമ ദിന
പത്രത്തിലും 1981 ഒക്ടോബർ 19-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ദേശാഭിമാനി ദിനപ്പത്ര
ത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ
നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കണ്ണൂർ.

താലൂക്ക്—കണ്ണൂർ.

വില്ലേജ്—കണ്ണൂർ.

വാർഡ് II

ബ്ലോക്ക് 5.

(ഏകദേശ വിസ്തീർണ്ണമാണ് കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളത്)

സർവ്വേ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ചതുരശ്ര മീററിൽ
ടി. എസ്. നമ്പർ. 187/1	തോട്ടം	3788.20

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു
ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

13-8-1983-ൽ കൂടിയ കേരള സംസ്ഥാന ഭവന നിർമ്മാണ ബോർഡിന്റെ
യോഗം കണ്ണൂർ മുനിസിപ്പാലിററിയിൽ ഷോപ്പിംഗ് കോംപ്ലക്സ് നിർമ്മി
ക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി 187/1 എന്ന സർവ്വെ നമ്പരിൽപ്പെട്ട 3788.20 ചതുരശ്രമീററർ
സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള നിർദ്ദേശം ഉപേക്ഷിക്കുന്നതിന്
തീരുമാനിക്കുകയും പ്രസ്തുത ആവശ്യത്തിന് നേരത്തെ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള
നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിക്കാൻ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥനോട് ബോർഡിന്റെ
സെക്രട്ടറി ആവശ്യപ്പെടുകയും ചെയ്തു. സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികളുടെ
ഫലമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട കക്ഷികൾക്ക് നഷ്ടമൊന്നും സംഭവിച്ചിട്ടില്ലാത്തതി
നാൽ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് 52-ാം വകുപ്പു (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു
പ്രകാരമുള്ള നഷ്ടപരിഹാരം ഈ കാര്യത്തിൽ കൊടുക്കേണ്ട ആവശ്യമില്ല.
പ്രസ്തുത സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിക്കുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചു
കൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

R. B. PATHAK,

Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 26963/TC2/83/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 3rd January* 1984.

S. R.O. No. 490/84.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Goods Vehicle Operator Shri D. Radhakrishnan, Reetha Nivas, Trivandrum-23 that the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st December 1982, 31st March, 1983, 30th June, 1983 and 30th September 1983, in respect of the Goods Vehicles bearing Registration Number KET 4987 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle in monthly instalments;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said Goods Vehicle could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said Goods Vehicle ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 31st December, 1982 31st March, 1983, 30th June, 1983 and 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said Goods Vehicle due to non-payment of tax would cause great inconvenience to the public;

And whereas, the Government convinced it necessary in public interest to permit the Goods Vehicle operator to remit 1/4th of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st December 1982, 31st March, 1983, 30th June 1983 and 30th September 1983 in respect of the said Goods Vehicle on or before 30th September 1983 and the balance in seven equal monthly instalments;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that one-fourth of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st December 1982, 31st March 1983, 30th June 1983 and 30th September 1983 in respect of the said Goods Vehicle ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before 30th September 1983 and the balance amount in seven equal monthly instalments commencing from 30th October, 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the Notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S.R.O. No. 876/76 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
V. A. Augustine,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Goods Vehicle Operator as shown in the notification requesting instalment facility for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st December, 1982, 31st March, 1983, 30th June, 1983, and 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain.

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant instalment facility for payment of tax as otherwise the vehicle might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 28325/TC1/83/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th February,* 1984.

S R O. No. 491/84.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri P.K Kumaran, Pulikkottil house, Arthat, Kunnamkulam, Trichur that the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KLH. 5933 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle in monthly instalments;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain ;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would cause great inconvenience to the travelling public ;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary in public interest to permit the stage carriage operator to remit 1/3rd of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the said stage carriage on or before 15th October, 1983 and the balance amount in three equal monthly instalments commencing from 15th November, 1983;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that one third of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before 15th October, 1983 and the balance amount in 3 equal monthly instalments commencing from 15th November, 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
V. A. AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification).

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting instalment facility for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain ;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant instalment facility for payment of tax as otherwise the vehicle might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

Kerala Gazette No. 21 dated 22nd May 1984. Section iv
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 28325/TC1/83/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th February,* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 491/84.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri P.K Kumaran, Pulikkottil- house, Arthat, Kunnamkulam, Trichur that the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KLH. 5934 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle in monthly instalments;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would cause great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary in public interest to permit the stage carriage operator to remit 1/3rd of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the said stage carriage on or before 15th October, 1983 and the balance amount in three equal monthly instalments commencing from 15th November, 1983;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that one third of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before 15th October, 1983 and the balance amount in 3 equal monthly instalments commencing from 15th November, 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
V. A. Augustine,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification).

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting instalment facility for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983 due to financial strain ;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant instalment facility for payment of tax as otherwise the vehicle might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconveniences to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (D) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Ms. No. 119/84. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th April* 1984.

S.R.O. No 492/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the lands mentioned in the shedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition, Quilon by the issue of the Notification No. A3-1047/79 dated 31st July, 1979 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof, published at pages 3–4 Additional of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 27-11-1979 and the declaration under section 6 of the Act published in the Janayugom daily dated the 1st March, 1982 and the Malayala Manorama daily dated the 4th March, 1982.

SCHEDULE

District—Quilon. *Taluk*—Quilon.

Village—Vadakkevila.

Sl. No.	*Sy. No.*	*Description*	*Extent A.Sqm.*
1	489/4	Dry land	03.66
2	490/1	do.	18.62
3	496/3	do.	17.00
		Total	39.28

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to bring out the general purport.)

Upon a petition filed by Shri E. J. David, the owner of the land involved in this L. A. proceedings, Government have dropped the L. A. proceedings vide letter No. 14062/B3/82/RD dated 21-4-1983.

എസ്‌ ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 492/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 1979 നവംബർ 27-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗത്ത് 3-ം 4-ം പേജുകളിൽ

പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപ
വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള 1979 ജൂലായ് 31-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ3 1047/79 എ.
നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും 1982 മാർച്ച് 1-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ജനയുഗം
ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും 1982 മാർച്ച് 4-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മലയാള മനോരമ
ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പു്
പ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കൊല്ലം, സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ്
സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള
സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കൊല്ലം.

താലൂക്ക്—കൊല്ലം. വില്ലേജ്—വടക്കേവിള.

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെനമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ആർ. ച: മീററർ
1	489/4	പുരയിടം	03.66
2	490/1	,,	18.62
3	496/3	,,	17.00
		ആകെ	0.39.28

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം വെളി.
പ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.

ഈ സ്ഥമെടുപ്പു നടപടിയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുള്ള ഭൂമിയുടെ ഉടമസ്ഥനായ
ശ്രീ. ഈ. ജെ. ഡേവിഡ് സമർപ്പിച്ച ഹർജിയുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ സർക്കാർ
1683 ഏപ്രിൽ 21-ാം തീയതിയിലെ 14062/ബി 3/82/ആർ. ഡി എന്ന നമ്പർ
കത്തുപ്രകാരം സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ വേണ്ടെന്ന് വച്ചിരിക്കുകയാണ്.

By order of the Governor,

C. GOPALAKRISHNAN,
Deputy Secretary.



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Taxes (E) Department

ORDER

G.O. (P) No. 80/84/TD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 18th April 1984.*

S R.O. No. 493/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Subsection 9 (i) of section 9 of the Kerala Stamp Act, 1959, (17 of 1959), the Government of Kerala, being of opinion that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby remit stamp duty of Rs. 20,000 (Rupees twenty thousand only) from the amount of duty with which the sale deed in respect of an extent of 6.069 hectares of land comprised in survey number 379/1C-1 in Erumely Village in Kanjirappally Taluk in Kottayam District, to be executed by the Managing Director of the Travancore Rubbers Ltd. a company incorporated in the erstwhile state of Travancore and having its registered office at Kottayam, in favour of the Muslim Educational Society, Erumely College Committee, Erumely represented by Shri P. M. Hassan Rawther Parapallil, Erumely, President, Shri M. Abdul Khadar, Mathukompanal, Erattupettah, Secretary and Shri V. S. Musavannan, Valiakunnathu, Kanjirappally, Member of the said committee, is chargeable under the said Act.

By order of the Governor,

U. Mahabala Rao,

Commissioner & Secretary to Government (Taxes).

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Secretary, M.E.S. Erumely College Committee, Erumely has informed Government that the Committee propose to purchase 15 acres of land in Survey Number 379/IC-1 in Erumely Village in Kanjirappally Taluk in Kottayam District for a consideration of Rs. 11.25 lakhs to start a new College there. The total stamp duty payable for executing the sale deed come to Rs. 56,250. The Secretary of the Committee has requested that the proposed sale deed may be exempted from payment of Stamp duty. Government have decided to remit Rs. 20,000 (Rupees twenty thousand only) of the total stamp duty payable in respect of proposed sale deed. The above order is intended to achieve this object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 26258/TC1/83/TF&P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 14th February* 1984.

S.R O. No. 494/81.—Whereas representation has been received hy Government from the Stage Carriage Operator Shri P. M. Varghese, Kuniyil House, Nadapuram, Badagara, Kozhikode that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983. in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KRD 5069 could not he remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that extension of time for payment of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle may, therefore, be granted;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th. September 1983 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would have caused great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary to extend in public interest the time for payment of the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred hy section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that the vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983, in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall he paid within two weeks from 3rd September, 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S.R.O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,

V. A. AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[illegible]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification)

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operator as shown in the notification requesting extension of time for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September 1983, due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant extension of time for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicles might be put out of operation for non payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Industries (H) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 35718/H3/82/ID. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 15*th March* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 495/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed in respect of which lands acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition No. II (Minerals and Metals) Chavara by the issue of Notification No. C52/79 dated the 5th January, 1979 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published at pages 11 to 22 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 31 dated the 16th January, 1979, namely:—

SCHEDULE

District—Quilon. *Taluk*—Karunagapally.

Village—Panmana.

Sl. No.	*Block No.*	*Survey No.*	*Description*	*Extent in Hectare*
1	43	4904	Wet	0.0243
2	44	4910	Wet and Dry	0.1000
3	45	5404	Dry	0.0400
4	47	3040	Dry	0.0102
		5359	Wet	0.0200
		3080	Wet	0.0200
			Total	0.2145

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is included to indicate its general purport).

During Survey of the lands notified for acquisition for providing Railway siding from Karunagapally Railway Station to the plant area of the Titanium Complex at Sankaramangalam it was noticed that Sy. Nos. 4904, 5404, 3040, 5359, 3080 and 4910 of Panmana Village notified for acquisition lie outside the alignment and are not required for the purpose. Therefore a withdrawal notification for Sy. Nos. 4904, 5404, 3040, 5359, 3080 and 4910 is to be published. The Notification seeks to achieve this object.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 495/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 1979 ജനുവരി 16-ാം തീയതിയിലെ 31-ാം നമ്പർ അസാധാരണ കേരള ഗസററിൽ 11 മുതൽ 22 വരെ പേജുകളിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1979 ജനുവരി 5-ാം തീയതിയിലെ സി. 52/79 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട്, ചവറ II-ാം നമ്പർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസീൽദാർ (മിനറൽസ് ആന്റ് മെററൽസ്) സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു. അതായത്:—

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കൊല്ലം. വില്ലേജ്—പൻമന. താലൂക്ക്—കരുനാഗപ്പള്ളി.

ക്രമനമ്പർ	ബ്ലോക്ക് നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ഹെക്ടറിൽ
1	43	4904	നിലം	0.0243
2	44	4910	നിലവും പുരയിടവും	0.1000
3	45	5404	പുരയിടം	0.0400
4	47	3040	പുരയിടം	0.0102
		5359	നിലം	0.0200
		3080	നിലം	0.0200
			ആകെ	0.2145

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം വെളിപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്).

കരുനാഗപ്പള്ളി റെയിൽവേസ്റേറഷൻ മുതൽ ശങ്കരമംഗലത്തുള്ള ടൈററാനിയം കോംപ്ലക്സിന്റെ പ്ലാന്റ് പ്രദേശംവരെ റെയിൽവേ സൈഡിംഗ് ഉണ്ടാക്കുന്നതിന് സ്ഥലമെടുക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി വിജ്ഞാപനം ചെയ്ത് സ്ഥലം സർവ്വെ ചെയ്തപ്പോൾ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പിനുവേണ്ടി വിജ്ഞാപനം ചെയ്ത പൻമന വില്ലേജിലെ 4904, 5404, 3040, 5359, 3080, 4910 എന്നീ സർവ്വെ നമ്പരുകൾ അതിരിന് വെളിയിലാണെന്നും പ്രസ്തുത ആവശ്യത്തിന് ആവശ്യമില്ലെന്നും മനസിലായി. അതുകൊണ്ട് 4904, 5404, 3040, 5359, 3080, 4910 എന്നീ സർവ്വെ നമ്പരുകൾക്കുവേണ്ടി ഒരു പിൻവാങ്ങൽ വിജ്ഞാപനം പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിക്കേണ്ടതായി വന്നു. ഈ ആവശ്യം നിറവേറ്റുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

P.M. ABRAHAM,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O.Rt. No. 316/84/TF & PD. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 5*th May* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 498/84.—Whereas, Sri Augustine Thomas, Vaipana Travels, K. K. Road, Kottayam has constructed a luxury tourist bus body on a Leyland bus chassis, details of which are hereunder given;

And whereas, the overhang of the said vehicle exceeds the limit specified in sub rule (2) of rule 6 of the Overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules, 1982;

And whereas, the Government of Kerala are satisfied that the said vehicle with such excess measurements in over hang is suitable for conveyance of tourists on National /State highways;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-rule (2) of rule 6 of the Overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules, 1982, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 6 of the said Rules, subject to the condition that the vehicle should ply only on National/ State highways.

DETAILS OF THE VEHICLE

Model—Leyland
Class—Luxury Tourist bus
Engine No.—ALEF 17862
Chassis No.—ALEF 161058
Overhang—533. 4 centimetres

By order of the Governor,
V. A. AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indioate its main purport.)

Sri Augustine Thomas, Vaipana Travels, K. K. Road, Kottayam has requested to exempt the vehicle mentioned in the above notification from the provision of rule 6 (2) of the overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules, 1982 since the overhang of the vehicle exceeds the prescribed limit. Government have considered the request and recommendation of the Transport Commissioner and have decided to grant the exemption sought for subject to the condition mentioned in the above notification. Hence this notification.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Higher Education (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Ms.) No. 76 84 H. Edn. *Dated, Trivandrum, 13th April* 1984.

S.R.O No. 499/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection ( ) of section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (Central Act 6 of 1890), the Government of Kerala hereby order that the property specified in column (2) of the Schedule appended herewith belonging to the Endowmen mentioned in column (1) thereof, shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala, and under subsections (1) and (3) of section 5 of the said Act, the Government of Kerala hereby settle the following Scheme for the administration of the said property, the same having been previously published under rule 3 of the Charitable Endowments (Kerala) Rules, 1966, and appoint the date of publication of this notification to be the date on which the said Scheme shall come into operation, namely:—

SCHEME

1. This Endowment may be called K.U. Gopalan Memorial Endowment Fund.

2. The corpus of the Endowment shall consist of Rs. 1000 (Rupees one thousand only), and shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala

3. The corpus of the Endowment shall be invested in any long term securities of the Government of India or the Government of Kerala or in any of the securities approved by the Government of Kerala.

4. The Headmaster/Headmistress, Government High School, Mulanthuruthy shall be the Administrator of the Fund

5 The annual interest accruing on the fund shall be utilized during the succeeding year for awarding one scholarship to the student of Government High School, Mulanthuruthy who has passed the S. S. L. C. Examination during the previous year in the first attempt securing the highest number of marks in Mathematics.

6 The scholarship shall be awarded on the occasion of the School day celebration or in any other suitable occasion in the academic year as decided by the Administrator.

7. If, in any year, two or more pupils secure the same number of highest marks, then the amount shall be divided equally and the scholarship awarded accordingly to among them.

8. Requisition for payment of annual interest shall be sent by the Administrator at any time not later than two months prior to the date fixed for the award of the scholarship and the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments shall thereupon arrange to place the annual interest at the disposal of the Administrator.

9. If in any year, the interest is not utilised as provided in clause 5 or if the scholarship is not awarded owing to the non-availability of a suitable candidate or for any other reason or any balance is left under awarding the scholarship such amount shall be added on to the corpus of the Fund by the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, unless its payment is allowed by the Treasurer in exceptional cases on the specific recommendation of the controlling authority specified in clause 10.

10. If, any doubt or dispute arises regarding the meaning or interpretation of the scheme, it shall be referred to the Director of Public Instruction, Kerala whose decision thereon shall be final.

SCHEDULE

Name of Endowment (1)	*Details of Property* (2)
"K.U. Gopalan Memorial Endowment Fund"	Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only)

By order of the Governor,

A. RAMASWAMY PILLAI,

Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport)

Smt. P.R. Saraswathy, Kannikkulathil House, Vattukkunnu, Mulanthuruthy wishes to institute an endowment by name K.U. Gopalan Memorial Endowment Fund in memory of her husband late Sri K.U. Gopalan in the Government High School Mulanthuruthy. A preliminary notification regarding this has been published in the Gazette dated the 20th September, 1983. Now Government have accepted the Endowment for institution and hence this notification.

PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

KERALA BUILDINGS (LEASE AND RENT CONTROL) ACT, 1965—ENFORCEMENT IN PERALASSERRY PANCHAYAT—ORDERS ISSUED

PUBLIC WORKS (E) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (Ms.) 33/84/PW. *Dated, Trivandrum, 9th April* 1984.

NOTIFICATIONS

(i)

S. R. O. No. 500/84.—Whereas the Peralassery Panchayat has in its resolution No. 210/81 dated the 11th December, 1981 requested that the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) shall be applied to that Panchayat area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (3) of section 1 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby apply all the provisions of the said Act to the Peralasserry Panchayat area in the Cannanore District with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(ii)

S. R. O. No. 501/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Munsiff, having jurisdiction over the Peralasserry Panchayat area in the Cannanore District, to be the Rent Control Court for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iii)

S. R. O. No. 502/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Tahsildar, having jurisdiction over the Peralasserry Panchayat area in the Cannanore District, to be the Accommodation Controller for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

O. 621.

(iv)

S.R.O. No. 503/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 18 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby confer on the Subordinate Judge or the Principal Subordinate Judge, as the case may be, having jurisdiction over the Peralasserry Panchayat area in the Cannanore District, the powers of the Appellate Authority for the purposes of the said Act in the said area with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

By order of the Governor,

C. K. K. PANICKER,

Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the above notifications, but is intended to indicate their general purport).

The Peralasserry Panchayat in the Cannanore District has in its resolution No. 210/81 dated the 11th December, 1981 requested Government to extend the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) to its area. Under section 1(3) of the said Act, Government can extend the provisions of the Act to any area of the State by a notification in the Gazette, provided that such notification shall be supported by a resolution passed by the local authority of the area affected by the notification. The above notifications are to achieve the abovo purpose and issued on the request of the Panchayat concerned.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Higher Education (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. MS. No. 77/84/H. Edn. *Dated, Trivandrum,* 13*th April* 1984.

S.R.O No. 504/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (Central) Act 6 of 1890), the Government of Kerala hereby order that the property specified in column (2) of the Schedule appended herewith belonging to the Endowment mentioned in column (1) thereof, shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala, and under subsections (1) and (3) of section 5 of the said Act, the Government of Kerala hereby settle the following Scheme for the administration of the said property, the same having been previously published under rule 3 of the Charitable Endowments (Kerala) Rules, 1966, and appoint the date of publication of this notification to be the date on which the said Scheme shall come into operation, namely:—

SCHEME

1. This Endowment may be called "Mulanthuruthy Mar Gregorios Asram Endowment Fund".

2. The Corpus of the Endowment shall consist of Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only), and shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala.

3. The Corpus of the Endowment shall be invested in any long term securities of the Government of India or the Government of Kerala or in any of the securities approved by the Government of Kerala.

4. The Headmaster/Headmistress, Government High School, Mulanthuruthy shall be the Administrator of the fund.

5. The Annual interest accruing on the fund shall be utilised during the succeeding year for awarding one Scholarship to the student of Government High School, Mulanthuruthy who has passed the S.S.L.C. Examination during the previous year in the first attempt securing the highest number of marks in Hindi.

6. The scholaship shall be awarded on the occasion of the School day celebration or in any other suitable occasion in the academic year as decided by the Administrator.

7. If, in any year, two or more pupils secure the same number of highest marks then the amount shall be divided equally and the scholarship awarded accordingly to all of them.

8. Requisition for payment of annual interest shall be sent by the Administrator at any time not later than two months prior to the date fixed for the award of the scholarship and the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments shall thereupon arrange to place the annual interest at the disposal of the Administrator.

9. If in any year, the interest is not utilised as provided in clause 5 or if the scholarship is not awarded owing to the non-availability of a suitable candidate or for any other reason or any balance is left after awarding the scholarship, such amount shall be added to the corpus of the fund by the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments unless its payment is allowed by the Treasurer in exceptional cases on the specific recommendation of the controlling authority specified in clause 10.

10. If any doubt or dispute arises regarding the meaning or interpretation of the Scheme, it shall be referred to the Director of Public Instruction, Kerala whose decision thereon shall be final.

SCHEDULE

Name of Endowment (1)	*Details of Property* (2)
"Mulanthuruthy Mar Gregorios Asram Endowment Fund"	Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only)

By order of the Governor,

A. RAMASWAMY PILLAI,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Sister Susan Kuruvilla, Mar Gregorios Asram, Mulanthuruthy, North Kandanad wishes to institute an endowment by name "Mulanthuruthy Mar Gregorios Asram Endowment Fund" in the Government High School, Mulanthuruthy. A preliminary notification regarding this has been published in the Gazette dated the 20th September, 198[illegible]. Now Government have accepted the Endowment for institution and hence this notification.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Higher Education (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. MS. No. 74/84/H. Edn. *Dated, Trivandrum, 13th April* 1984.

S.R.O No. 505/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (Central Act 6 of 1890), the Government of Kerala hereby order that the property specified in column (2) of the Schedule appended herewith belonging to the Endowment mentioned in column (1) thereof, shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala, and under subsections (1) and (3) of section 5 of the said Act, the Government of Kerala hereby settle the following Scheme for the administration of the said property, the same having been previously published under rule 3 of the Charitable Endowments (Kerala) Rules, 1966, and appoint the date of publication of this notification to be the date on which the said Scheme shall come into operation, namely :—

SCHEME

1. The Endowment may be called "Rev. Fr. Mathai Vellakkunnathu Memorial Endowment Fund".

2. The corpus of the Endowment shall consist of Rs. 1,000 (Rupees One thousand only) and shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala.

3. The corpus of the Endowment shall be invested in any long term securities of the Government of India or the Government of Kerala or in any of the securities approved by the Government of Kerala.

4. The Headmaster or Headmistress, Government High School, Mulanthuruthy shall be the Administrator of the Fund.

5. The annual interest accruing on the Fund shall be utilised during the succeeding year for awarding one scholarship to the student of the Government High School, Mulanthuruthy who has passed the S. S. L. C. Examination during the previous year, in the first attempt securing the highest number of marks in English.

6. The scholarship shall be awarded on the occasion of the School Day Celebration or in any other suitable occasion in the academic year as decided by the Administrator.

7. If, in any year, two or more pupils secure the same number of highest marks, then the amount shall be divided equally among them and the scholarship awarded accordingly to all of them.

8. Requisition for payment of annual interest shall be send by the Administrator at any time not later than two months prior to the date fixed for the award of the scholarship and the Treasurer of Charitable Endowment shall thereupon arrange to place the annual interest at the disposal of the Administrator.

9. If, in any year the interest is not utilised as provided in clause 5, or if the scholorship is not awarded owing to the non-availability of the suitable candidate or for any other reason or for any balance is left after awarding the scholarship such amount shall be added on to the corpus of the fund by the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments unless its payment is allowed by the Treasurer in exceptional cases on the specific recommendation of the Controlling Authority specified in clause 10.

10. If any doubt or dispute arises regarding the meaning or interpretation of the scheme, it shall be referred to the Director of Public Instruction, Kerala whose decision thereon shall be final.

SCHEDULE

Name of Endowment	*Details of Property*
(1)	(2)
"Rev. Fr. Mathai Vellakkunnathu Memorial Endowment Fund".	Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only)

By order of the Governor,
A. RAMASWAMY PILLAI,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Shri V.M. George Vellakkunnathu, Mulanthuruthy wishes to institute an Endowment by name of Rev. Fr. Mathai Vellakkunnathu Memorial Endowment Fund in memory of his father Late Rev. Fr. V. V. Mathai in the Government High School, Mulanthuruthy. A preliminary notification regarding this has been published in the Gazette dated the 20th September 1983. Now, Government have accepted the Endowment for institution and hence this notification.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (F) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. Rt. No. 512/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 11th April* 1984.

S.R.O. No. 506/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act 34 of 1948), read with section 91-A thereof, the Government of Kerala in consultation with the Employees' State Insurance Corporation hereby exempt The Cannanore Co-operative Milk Supply Union Ltd. No. C5(D), Cannanore-1 from the operation of the provisions of the said Act for a period of one year from the 1st day of 4th April, 1976 subject to the following conditions, namely:—

1. The establishment shall maintain a register showing the names and designations of its employees ;

2. Notwithstanding this exemption, the employees shall continue to receive such benefits under the said Act to which they might have become entitled to on the basis of the contributions paid prior to the date from which exemption granted by this notification operates ;

3. The contribution for the exempted period, if already paid, shall not be refunded ;

4. The establishment shall submit in respect of the period during which it was subject to the operation of the said Act, hereinafter referred to as the said period, such returns in such form and containing such particulars as were due from it in respect of the said period under the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 ;

5. Any Inspector appointed by the Corporation under subsection (1) of section 45 of the said Act, or other official of the Corporation authorised in this behalf shall, for the purposes of—

(i) verifying the particulars contained in any return submitted under subsection (1) of section 44 of the said Act ; or

(ii) ascertaining whether registers and records were maintained as required by the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 for the said period ; or

(iii) ascertaining whether the employees continued to be entitled to the benefits provided by the employer in cash and kind being benefits in considerations of whicb exemption is being granted under this notification ; or

(iv) ascertaining whether any of the provisions of the said Act had been complied with during the period when such provisions were in force in relation to the said establishment be empowered to—

(a) require the establishment to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(b) enter any factory, establishment office or other premises occupied by the said establishment at any reasonable time and require any person found in charge, thereof to produce to such Inspector or other official and allow him to examine such documents, books and other documents relating to the employment of persons and payment of wages or to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(c) examine the officers of the establishment servants, of the said establishment or any person found in such factory, establishment, office or other premises, or any person whom the said Inspector or other official has reasonable cause to believe to have been an employee; or

(d) make copies of or take extracts from any register, account book or other documents maintained in such society office or other premises of the said establishment.

By order of the Governor,

U. MAHABALA RAO,

Commissioner and
Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Secretary, the Cannanore Co-operative Milk Supply Union Ltd. No. C5(D), Cannanore has requested exemption from the coverage of ESI. Act to the society for a period from 30-3-1976 to 31-3-1981. After consulting Regional Director, ESI Corporation the case was placed in 35th Regional Board meeting and the Board recommended the case for exemption Government have accepted the recommendation and decided to grant exemption for a period of one year from 1-4-1976 to 31-3-1977. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Higher Education (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. MS. No. 75/84/H. Edn. *Dated, Trivandrum, 12th April* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 507/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890 (Central Act 6 of 1890), the Government of Kerala hereby order that the property specified in column (2) of the Schedule appended herewith belonging to the Endowment mentioned in column (1) thereof, shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala, and under subsections (1) and (3) of section 5 of the said Act, the Government of Kerala hereby settle the following Scheme for the administration of the said property, the same having been previously published under rule 3 of the Charitable Endowments (Kerala) Rules, 1966, and appoint the date of publication of this notification to be the date on which the said Scheme shall come into operation, namely: -

SCHEME

1. This Endowment may be called "Ponodath Cherian Memorial Endowment Fund".

2. The corpus of the Endowment shall consist of Rs. 1,000 (Rupees One thousand only), and shall be vested with the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, Kerala.

3. The corpus of the Endowment shall be invested in any long term securities of the Government of India or the Government of Kerala or in any of the Securities approved by the Government of Kerala.

4. The Headmaster/Headmistress, Government High School Mulanthuruthy shall be the Administrator of the Fund.

5. The annual interest accruing on the fund shall be utilised during the succeeding year for awarding one scholarship to the student of Government High School, Mulanthuruthy who has passed the S.S.L.C. Examination during the previous year in the first attempt securing the highest number of marks in the School.

6. The scholarships shall be awarded on the occasion of the school Day Celebration or in any other suitable occasion in the academic year as decided by the Administrator.

7. If in any year, two or more pupils secure the same number of highest marks, then the amount shall be divided equally among them and the scholarships awarded accordingly to all of them.

8. Requisition for payment of annual interest shall be sent by the Administrator at any time not later than two months prior to the date fixed for the award of the scholarship and the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments shall thereupon arrange to place the annual interest at the disposal of the Administrator.

9. If, in any year, the interest is not utilised as provided in clause 5 or if the scholarships is not awarded owing to the non-availability of a suitable candidate or for any other reason, or any balance is left after awarding the scholarship such amount shall be added on to the corpus of the fund by the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, unless its payment is allowed by the Treasurer in exceptional cases on the specific recommendation of the Controlling Authority specified in clause 10.

10. If any doubt or dispute arises regarding the meaning or interpretation of the Scheme, it shall be referred to the Director of Public Instruction, Kerala whose decision thereon shall be final.

SCHEDULE

Name of Endowment	Details of Property
(1)	(2)
"Ponodath Cherian Memorial Endowment Fund".	Rs. 1,000 (Rupees one thousand only).

By order of the Governor,
A. RAMASWAMY PILLAI,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to iddicate its general purport.)

Shri P.C. Kunjachan, Ponodath, Mulanthuruthy wishes to institute an Endowment by name Ponodath Cherian Memorial Endowment Fund in memory of his father Cherian Ponodath, House, Mulanthuruthy in the Government High School, Mulanthuruthy. A preliminary notification regarding this has been published in the Gazette dated the 20th September, 1983. Now, Government have accepted the Endowment for institution and hence this notification.

Kerala Gazette No 21 dated 22nd May 1984.
PART I

Section iv

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

KERALA BUILDINGS (LEASE AND RENT CONTROL) ACT, 1965 — ENFORCEMENT IN KAVILUMPARA PANCHAYAT— ORDERS ISSUED

PUBLIC WORKS (E) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (Ms.) 43/84/PW. *Dated, Trivandrum, 19th May* 1984.

NOTIFICATIONS

(i)

S R O No 507/84 —Whereas the Kavilumpara Panchayat has in its resolution No. 114 dated the 29th November, 1982 requested that the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) shall be applied to that Panchayat area ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (3) of section 1 of the Kerala Buildings Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby apply all the provisions of the said Act to the Kavilumpara Panchayat area in the Kozhikode District with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(ii)

S. R O No 510'84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Munsiff, having jurisdiction over the Kavilumpara Panchayat area in the Kozhikode District to be the Rent Control Court for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iii)

S R O. No 511/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 3 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965); the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Tahsildar, having jurisdiction over the Kavilumpara Panchayat area in the Kozhikode District, to be the Accommodation Controller for the said area, with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

(iv)

S. R. O. No. 512/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 18 of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965), the Government of Kerala hereby confer on the Subordinate Judge or the Principal Subordinate Judge, as the case may be, having jurisdiction over the Kavilumpara Panchayat area in the Kozhikode District, the powers of the Appellate Authority for the purposes of the said Act in the said area with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

By order of the Governor,
K. RAGHAVA KURUP,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the above notifications, but is intended to indicate their general purport.)

The Kavilumpara Panchayat in the Kozhikode District has in its resolution No. 114 dated the 29th November 1982 requested Government to extend the provisions of the Kerala Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Act, 1965 (2 of 1965) to its area. Under section 1 (3) of the said Act, Government can extend the provisions of the Act to any area of the State by a notification in the Gazette, provided that such notification shall be supported by a resolution passed by the local authority of the area affected by the notification. The above notifications are to achieve the above purpose and issued on the request of the Panchayat concerned.



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

THE KERALA GOVERNMENT LAW OFFICERS (APPOINTMENT AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE) AND CONDUCT OF CASES RULES, 1978—AMENDMENT—ISSUED

LAW (INSPECTION WING) DEPARTMENT

G.O. (P) 55/84/Law. **Dated, Trivandrum, 5th May 1984.**

NOTIFICATION

S.R.O. No. 526/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 2 of the Kerala Public Services Act, 1968 (19 of 1968), the Government of Kerala hereby make the following amendment to the Kerala Government Law Officers (Appointment and Conditions of Service) and Conduct of Cases Rules, 1978, issued under G. O. (P) No. 11/78/Law dated 25-1-1978 and published as S. R. O. No. 568/78 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 25 dated the 20th June, 1978, as subsequently amended, namely:—

AMENDMENT

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(1) These rules may be called the Kerala Government Law Officers (Appointment and Conditions of Service) and Conduct of Cases (Amendment) Rules, 1984.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of notification.

The following amendments may be made to the Rule. In rule 4 of the said rules, the following proviso shall be inserted namely:—

"Provided that in furnishing the panel of names, the Advocate General shall include at least two names of Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes Communities for every ten vacancies reported".

In rule 8 of the said Rules

(a) after sub-rule (i), the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

"Provided that the Government shall try to give adequate representation to members of Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe Communities in the matter of appointment of Government Law Officers".

(b) in sub-rule (2), after sub-clause (iii) the following proviso shall be inserted namely:—

"Provided that if members from the Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribe Community are qualified to be appointed as Government Law Officer the panel shall contain at least the name of one member from such community".

By order of the Governor,
K. SREEDHARAN,
Secretary to Government (Law).

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Kerala Government Law Officers (Appointment and Conditions of Service) and Conduct of Cases Rules, 1978 does not contain provision for adequate representation to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in appointment of Government Law Officers. On the request of Scheduled Caste Advocate, Government have considered the question of giving adequate representation to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the matter of appointment of Law Officers. The amendment is intended to achieve the above purpose.

To

All Heads of Departments.
All Departments of the Secretariat.
The Accountant General, Kerala, Trivandrum.
All District Collectors.
All Panel Counsels and Standing Counsels.
All Government Pleaders and Public Prosecutors.
The Registrar, High Court, Ernakulam.
The Private Secretary to Chief Minister.
The Private Secretaries to all Ministers.
The Under Secretary to Chief Secretary.
The General Administration (SC) Department.
The Superintendent of Government Presses, Trivandrum.

Government of Kerala
1984

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/3



KERALA GAZETTE

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXIX] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 22nd May 1984 / 1st Jyaistha 1906 [No. 414

COVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

UNIVERSITIES—COMMISSION TO ENQUIRE INTO THE AFFAIRS OF THE KERALA UNIVERSITY—APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER-SECRETARY—ORDERS ISSUED

HIGHER EDUCATION (B) DEPARTMENT

G.O. (P) 108/84/H. Edn. **Dated, Trivandrum, 22nd May 1984.**

ORDER

S. R. O. No. 513/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 71 of the Kerala University Act, 1974 (17 of 1974), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department in addition to his duties as Secretary to Governmen as Member-Secretary to the Commission constituted as per Ordt No. G. O. (P) 48/84/H.Edn. dated, the 5th March, 1984 publishe

33/1877/MC.

as S. R. O. No. 333/84 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 14 dated the 3rd April, 1984, and consequently make the following amendments to the said order, namely:—

AMENDMENTS

In the said Order, -

(1) after the entry "Sri V.V. John, F4, University Marg, Jodhpur, Rajastan—Member",

the following entry shall be inserted namely:—

"Secretary to Government,
Higher Education Department—Member-Secretary";

(2) paragraph 2 shall be omitted;

(3) in sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 4 for the word, "Secretary" occurring in two places, the word, "Member-Secretary" shall be substituted.

By order of the Governor,
K. UPPILIAPPAN,
Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the order but is intended to indicate the general purport).

In G.O. (P) 48/84/H. Edn. dated 5-3-1984 Government have constituted a Commission consisting of Dr. Malcolm S. Adiseshiah as Chairman, Rev. Dr. P.T. Chandi, and Sri V. V. John as Members to enquire into the working of the Kerala University and to make recommendations to Government. Government have since decided to appoint the Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department in addition to his duties as Secretary to Government to function as the Member-Secretary to the said Commission and to delete the provision for the appointment of full time Secretary to the Commission made in the above order. Hence this order.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

UNIVERSITIES—COMMISSION TO ENQUIRE INTO THE AFFAIRS OF THE CALICUT UNIVERSITY—APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER-SECRETARY—ORDERS ISSUED

HIGHER EDUCATION (B) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (P) 109/84/H. Edn. **Dated, Trivandrum 22nd May 1984.**

ORDER

S. R. O. No. 514/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 70 of the Calicut University Act, 1975 (5 of 1975), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department in addition to his duties as Secretary to Government as Member-Secretary to the Commission constituted as per Order No. G.O. (P) 49/84/H. Edn. dated the 5th March 1984 published as S.R.O. No. 332/84 in Part I of the Kerala Gazette No. 14 dated the 3rd April, 1984 and consequently make the following amendments to the said order namely:—

AMENDMENTS

In the said Order,

(1) after the entry "V. V. John, F4, University Marg, Jodhpur, Rajastan—Member"

the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"Secretary to Government,
Higher Education Department—Member-Secretary";

(2) paragraph 2 shall be omitted;

(3) in sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 4 for the word, "Secretary" occurring in two places, the word, "Member-Secretary" shall be substituted.

By order of the Governor,

K. UPPILIAPPAN,

Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the order but is intended to indicate the general purport).

In G. O. (P) 49/84/H. Edn. dated, 5-3-1984 Government have constituted a Commission consisting of Dr. Malcolm S. Adiseshiah as Chairman, Rev. Dr. P.T. Chandi, and Sri V.V. John as Members to enquire into the working of the Calicut University and to make recommendations to Government. Government have since decided to appoint the Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department in addition to his duties as Secretary to Government to function as the Member-Secretary to the said Commission and to delete the provision for the appointment of full time Secretary to the Commission made in the above Order. Hence this Order.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE S. G. P. AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS,
TRIVANDRUM, 1984.